

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,119	05/04/2001	Donald E. Ackley	264/036	1949	
22249 7	99/16/2002				
LYON & LYON LLP			EXAMINER		
633 WEST FIF SUITE 4700	TH STREET	MARSCHEL, ARDIN H			
LOS ANGELE	ES, CA 90071		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/849,119		ACKLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Ardin Marschel		1631			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		sheet with the co				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	— · s action is non-fi	nal.				
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  AND Claim(a) 4.55 is/ore pending in the application							
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
í	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirem	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) 🗌 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exam	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-53, drawn to systems for active electronic control of arrays, classified in class 422, subclass 68.1. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- II. Claim 54, drawn to methods of driving an array wherein the row unit cell output is sent via a column selector, classified in class 435, subclass 286.2. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- III. Claim 55, drawn to methods of selectively controlling the electrical characteristics of an array or electrodes wherein column only activation is driven by an output value on the column, classified in class 435, subclass 286.1. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized specie election is also required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT APPLICABLE TO ALL GROUPS I - III:

Specie A: Row and column selection which is external to the array

Specie B: Row and column selection which is non-external (i.e., internal) to the array.

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 22-35 (Group I), 54 (Group II), and 55 (Group III) are generic to the above listed species. The above species are distinct because on array or "onchip" type control or row and column selection is generally separately manufactured into an array or microchip and greatly adds complications of manufacture and use regarding design etc.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1631

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the reasons already set forth above regarding the specie election and regarding the following reasons set forth corresponding to the restriction Groups:

The inventions of Groups II and III versus Group I are related as processes and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case each of the processes/methods of Groups II and III can be and are practiced by another materially different apparatus wherein for Group II the row unit cell output is sent from a column selector and for Group III the columns only are cited as activated which both Groups' limitations are not present in the apparatus of Group I which cites not column versus row cell output requirement nor column only operation since all of the apparatus claims in Group I have both row and column control and corresponding limitations.

The methods of Groups II and III are distinct because each is directed to specifically different practices as summarized above. Group II to column then row output value sending and Group III is directed to column only activation practice.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 12, 2002

ÀRDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER